



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,207	11/19/2003	J. Thomas Fowler	TI01.702US	6009
51886 7590 09/26/2007 FINCH & NGUYEN PLLC P.O. BOX 1358 CONCORD, NH 03302			EXAMINER PIGGUSH, AARON C	
			ART UNIT 2838	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/26/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/717,207

Applicant(s)

FOWLER ET AL.

Examiner

Aaron Piggush

Art Unit

2838

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 23-30 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 12, 13, 18-22 and 31-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8-11 and 14-17 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-7, 12, 13, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eaves (US 5,656,915) in view of Hall (US 6,388,447).

With respect to claim 1, Eaves discloses a system, comprising:

a string of electrical energy storage units (no. 4a-4d in Fig. 1); and

a power converter selectively coupled to an individual storage unit of the string of storage units (col 16 ln 5-17), the power converter being configured to transfer energy bidirectionally between the individual storage unit and the string of storage units (col 16 ln 7-11 and ln 53-56), and to balance state of charge of the individual storage unit to a target state of charge, the state of charge of the individual storage unit being a fraction of a fully charged capacity of the individual storage unit (abstract, col 18 ln 21 to col 19 ln 26, and Fig. 11). Additionally, it should be noted that a target state of charge could be a full charge or an equal state of charge).

However, Eaves does not expressly disclose wherein the SOC is determined from an impedance, current, and terminal voltage of the individual storage unit.

Hall discloses wherein a state of charge is determined from an impedance, current, and terminal voltage of an individual storage unit (abstract, col 4 ln 38 to col 5 ln 50, and Fig. 5A,B

Art Unit: 2838

and 6), in order to provide a more accurate reading of the actual SOC, taking additional factors into account.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include impedance, current, and terminal voltage in the SOC determination in the device of Eaves, as did Hall, so that a more accurate reading of the SOC could be provided (which takes additional factors into account).

With respect to claim 2, Eaves discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the power converter is configured to transfer energy at a controllable rate of transfer (col 18 ln 35-42 and col 17 ln 25-31).

With respect to claim 3, Eaves discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the power converter is configured to monitor voltage and current data of the individual storage unit resulting from a transfer of energy (col 16 ln 37-52).

With respect to claim 4, Eaves discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the power converter is configured to transfer units of energy between the individual storage unit and the string of storage units (col 16 ln 53-56 and ln 10-13).

With respect to claim 5, Eaves discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the power converter comprises:

- a primary inductor (no. 7p in Fig. 1);

- a first secondary inductor magnetically coupled to the primary inductor (no. 7s in Fig. 1);

- a first switch selectively coupling the individual storage unit to the primary inductor (no. 16e in Fig. 2a); and

Art Unit: 2838

the first secondary inductor coupling to an output capacitor (no. 8c in Fig. 1);

the output capacitor coupled in parallel to the string of storage units (no. 8c and 4a-4d in Fig. 1).

Additionally, when the first switch mentioned above selectively couples the individual storage unit to the primary inductor, the circuit will have another switch closed so that there will be a complete connection across the battery cell selected, as can be seen in Fig. 2a.

With respect to claim 6, Eaves discloses the system of claim 5, further wherein:

the power converter is further configured to transfer energy from the individual storage unit to charge the primary inductor when the first switch is on (col 13 ln 20-22);
and

to discharge energy into the first secondary inductor to charge the output capacitor when the first switch is off, the output capacitor discharging energy to the string of storage units (col 13 ln 22-27).

With respect to claim 7, Eaves discloses the system of claim 5, further comprising:

a first pulse generator (no. 1 in Fig. 1) configured to provide first enable signals to the first switch (no. 2 in Fig. 1, no. 27, 26a, 26c, and 26d in Fig. 3, and col 16 ln 21-37);

the first switch being configured to couple the individual storage unit to the primary inductor (no. 16e in Fig. 2a is coupled to no. 7p in Fig. 1) in response to the first enable signals, and to transfer energy from the individual storage unit to the string of storage units (col 16 ln 7-11 and ln 53-56 and col 13 ln 22-27).

Art Unit: 2838

Furthermore, the microcontroller acts as a pulse generator because it generates a pulse signal to the MUX and the driver, which in turn force the MOSFET switches of the device to turn on or off and connect the storage unit or units to the inductor.

With respect to claim 12, Eaves discloses the system of claim 1, further comprising:

- a primary inductor (no. 7p in Fig. 1);

- a first secondary inductor magnetically coupled to the primary inductor (no. 7s in Fig. 1);

- a switch selectively coupling the first secondary inductor to the string of storage units (no. 16c in Fig. 2a), and configured to transfer energy from the string of storage units to charge the first secondary inductor when the switch is on (col 13 ln 20-22), and to discharge energy into the primary inductor and charging the individual storage unit when the switch is off (col 13 ln 22-27).

Additionally, when the switch mentioned above selectively couples the first secondary inductor to the string of storage units, the circuit will have another switch closed so that there will be a complete connection across the battery cells selected, as can be seen in Fig. 2a.

With respect to claim 13, Eaves discloses the system of claim 12, further comprising:

- a first pulse generator (no. 1 in Fig. 1) configured to provide first enable signals to the switch (no. 2 in Fig. 1, no. 27, 26a, 26c, and 26d in Fig. 3, and col 16 ln 21-37);

- the switch being configured to couple the string of storage units to the first secondary inductor (no. 16c in Fig. 2a is coupled to no. 7s in Fig. 1) in response to the first enable signals, and to transfer energy from the sting of storage units to the individual storage unit (col 16 ln 7-11 and ln 53-56 and col 13 ln 22-27).

Art Unit: 2838

Furthermore, the microcontroller acts as a pulse generator because it generates a pulse signal to the MUX and the driver, which in turn force the MOSFET switches of the device to turn on or off and connect the storage unit or units to the inductor.

With respect to claim 20, Eaves discloses the system of claim 1, wherein each storage unit is a storage cell (col 4 ln 25-26 and no. 4a-4d in Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 22, Eaves discloses the system of claim 1, wherein a battery pack comprises a string of one or more storage units (col 4 ln 25-26 and no. 4a-4d in Fig. 1).

3. Claims 31-36, 39, and 41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eaves (US 5,656,915) in view of Chitsazan (US 6,801,014).

With respect to claims 31-36, see the rejection of claims 1-5 and 12 above, respectively (please note that the inclusion of the Hall reference is not necessary since these claims do not refer to determination of SOC using impedance, current, and voltage of the storage unit).

However, Eaves does not expressly disclose wherein the power converter is a buck-boost dc-dc power converter.

Chitsazan discloses a battery equalizer which has a flyback converter (equivalent to a buck-boost converter, which replaces the inductor of a buck-boost with a transformer) coupled simultaneously to a selected portion of the string of electrical energy storage units and to the first and second ends of the string of electrical energy storage units (col 2 ln 44-56, col 4 ln 12-25, col 4 ln 56 to col 5 ln 9, and Fig. 2-4) in order to more efficiently provide equalization of the voltages between the string of storage units to a desired voltage.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a buck-boost (or flyback) converter in the device of Eaves, as did Chitsazan, so

Art Unit: 2838

that a more efficient means for transferring/equalizing energy between the storage units could be attained (while allowing the storage units to reach a desired voltage).

With respect to claim 39, see the rejection of claim 20 above.

With respect to claim 41, see the rejection of claim 22 above.

4. Claims 1, 18, 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anzawa (US 2002/0109482) in view of Hall (US 6,388,447).

With respect to claim 1, Anzawa discloses a system, comprising:

a string of electrical energy storage units (no. 1-1, 1-2, and 1-n in Fig. 1); and

a power converter selectively coupled to an individual storage unit of the string of storage units (pg 2 para 0016 and 0017), the power converter being configured to transfer energy bidirectionally between the individual storage unit and the string of storage units (pg 2 para 0018 to 0023), and to balance state of charge of the individual storage unit to a target state of charge, the state of charge of the individual storage unit being a fraction of a fully charged capacity of the individual storage unit (abstract, para 003, and para 0013). Additionally, it should be noted that a target state of charge could be a full charge or an equal state of charge.

However, Anzawa does not expressly disclose wherein the SOC is determined from an impedance, current, and terminal voltage of the individual storage unit.

Hall discloses wherein a state of charge is determined from an impedance, current, and terminal voltage of an individual storage unit (abstract, col 4 ln 38 to col 5 ln 50, and Fig. 5A, B and 6), in order to provide a more accurate reading of the actual SOC, taking additional factors into account.

Art Unit: 2838

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include impedance, current, and terminal voltage in the SOC determination in the device of Anzawa, as did Hall, so that a more accurate reading of the SOC could be provided (which takes additional factors into account).

With respect to claim 18, Anzawa discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the power converter comprises:

an up-converter configured to transfer energy from the individual storage unit to the string of storage units (T and inductors near S2 and S1 in Fig. 11); and

a down-converter configured to transfer energy from the string of storage units to the individual storage unit (T and inductors near S1 and S2 in Fig. 11).

Additionally, the transformer of Fig. 11 acts as an up-converter or a down-converter because of the turn ratio difference. When the charge from an individual storage unit is transferred to the capacitor (through the inductor near an individual storage unit to the inductor near S1), the charge will be greater because it is moving from an inductor with less turns to one with greater turns. That charge is then used for the equalization of the other storage units. Furthermore, when the charge from a string of storage units is transferred from the capacitor (through the inductor near S1 to the inductor near an individual storage unit), the charge will be smaller because it is moving from an inductor with more turns to one with less turns. That charge is used for the equalization of the individual storage unit (pg 10 para 184).

With respect to claim 19, Anzawa discloses the system of claim 18, wherein a common transformer is configured to serve as the up-converter (T and inductors near S2 and S1 in Fig. 11) and the down converter (T and inductors near S1 and S2 in Fig. 11).

Art Unit: 2838

Further explanation for the rejection of claim 19 is addressed above in the rejection of claim 18.

With respect to claim 21, Anzawa discloses the system of claim 1, wherein each storage unit is a battery module having a string of storage units (three battery modules containing three storage units within each in Fig. 3 and pg 7 para 0129).

5. Claims 31, 37, 38, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anzawa (US 2002/0109482) in view of Chitsazan (US 6,801,014).

With respect to claims 31, 37, and 38, see the rejection of claims 1, 18, and 19 above, respectively (please note that the inclusion of the Hall reference is not necessary since these claims do not refer to determination of SOC using impedance, current, and voltage of the storage unit). However, Anzawa does not expressly disclose wherein the power converter is a buck-boost dc-dc power converter.

Chitsazan discloses a battery equalizer which has a flyback converter (equivalent to a buck-boost converter, which replaces the inductor of a buck-boost with a transformer) coupled simultaneously to a selected portion of the string of electrical energy storage units and to the first and second ends of the string of electrical energy storage units (col 2 ln 44-56, col 4 ln 12-25, col 4 ln 56 to col 5 ln 9, and Fig. 2-4) in order to more efficiently provide equalization of the voltages between the string of storage units to a desired voltage.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a buck-boost (or flyback) converter in the device of Anzawa, as did Chitsazan, so that a more efficient means for transferring/equalizing energy between the storage units could be attained (while allowing the storage units to reach a desired voltage).

Art Unit: 2838

With respect to claim 40, see the rejection of claim 21 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 23-30 are allowed.

Claim 23 recites a system comprising a string of electrical energy storage units, a power converter configured to transfer energy bidirectionally between the individual storage unit and a string of storage units, and first and second pulse generators, the second pulse generator providing second enable signals to the first pulse generator, wherein the second enable signals control the transfer of energy from the individual storage unit to the string of storage units at a controllable rate.

Claim 27 recites a system comprising a string of electrical energy storage units, a power converter configured to transfer energy bidirectionally between the individual storage unit and a string of storage units, and first and second pulse generators, the second pulse generator providing second enable signals to the first pulse generator, wherein the second enable signals control the transfer of energy from the individual storage unit to the string of storage units at a controllable rate.

The art of record does not disclose the above limitations, nor would it be obvious to modify the art in such a manner.

7. Claims 8-11 and 14-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2838

Claims 8 and 14 recite a second pulse generator providing second enable signals to the first pulse generator, wherein the second enable signals control the transfer of energy at a controllable rate by controlling the first pulse generator.

The art of record does not disclose the above limitations, nor would it be obvious to modify the art in such a manner.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, which were necessitated by amendment.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2838

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Piggush whose telephone number is 571-272-5978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AP


KARL EASTHOM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER